

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,562	12/05/2003	Robert R. Alfano	5250-7	8886
75	90 09/26/2005		EXAMINER	
Kent H. Cheng, Esq.			JACKSON JR, JEROME	
Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane Suite 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
551 Fifth Avenue			2815	
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H'
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,562	ALFANO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communical D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>uly 2005</u> .		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-36</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 37</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)	🗖	(770 440)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-30,37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raisky in view of Cho.

The previous rejection still applies. The new limitations are not patentable as Raisky teaches quantum wells of the same thickness and barriers of the same thickness. The new claim merely recites III-V materials and does not distinguish over the applied art.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the intrinsic MQW region would look like Cho's intrinsic region rather than the suggested intrinsic MQW of Cho with Raisky. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). The Raisky with Cho suggested MQW comprises quantum wells of same thickness (Raisky) and GaN based material (Cho). Arguing against Cho alone is unconvincing of patentability.

Likewise, arguments regarding physical incorporation of Cho's well structure into Raisky is unconvincing of patentability. The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the

Application/Control Number: 10/728,562

Art Unit: 2815

references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Cho suggests GaN material for its increased conduction band offset and response at more desirable wavelengths. Raisky suggests same MQW quantum well widths for excellent photovoltaic operation.

Together the references suggest applicant's broadly claimed structures.

Claims 31-36 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,562

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER